



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,188	09/10/2003	Jeffrey Wayne Eberhard	RD-28,444-2	8797

6147 7590 01/21/2005

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY  
GLOBAL RESEARCH  
PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59  
NISKAYUNA, NY 12309

EXAMINER
----------

HO, ALLEN C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2882

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/666,188

Applicant(s)

EBERHARD ET AL.

Examiner

Allen C. Ho

Art Unit

2882

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 December 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 36,37 and 39-47 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 36,37 and 39-47 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 July 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Objections*

1. Claims 37 and 46 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 37 and 46 recite the limitation "the aperture". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.
2. Claims 46 and 47 are objected to because of the following informalities: line 1, "imaging" should be replaced by --tomosynthesis--. Appropriate correction is required.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 36, 37, 39-42, and 44-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hughes (U. S. Patent No. 5,754,622).

With respect to claim 36, Hughes disclosed a radiation imaging system comprising: a movable radiation source; a radiation detector (90); a collimator (4) comprising an adjustable geometry aperture assembly (column 4, lines 3-13); a collimator positioning apparatus (6, 18) configured such that an adjustment of the aperture geometry is synchronized with the movement of the radiation source and coordinated with the radiation source position (column 3, lines 54-66)

Art Unit: 2882

so as to limit the incident radiation to a predetermined exposure area (an imaging area) at the detector.

With respect to claim 37, Hughes disclosed the imaging system of claim 36, wherein the aperture assembly is configured for adjusting at least one of the position of the aperture and the shape of the aperture.

With respect to claims 39 and 40, Hughes disclosed the imaging system of claim 36, wherein the aperture assembly comprises a plurality of movable sides (multileaf collimator).

With respect to claim 41, Hughes disclosed the imaging system of claim 36, wherein the aperture assembly comprises multiple independently positionable sections (multileaf collimator) with different boundary shapes (the aperture assembly has different boundary shapes depending on the positions of the positionable sections).

With respect to claim 42, Hughes disclosed the imaging system of claim 41, wherein the multiple sections have linear boundaries.

With respect to claim 43, Hughes disclosed the imaging system of claim 39, wherein the plurality of sides comprise rotationally and translationally movable sides.

With respect to claim 44, Hughes disclosed a method for radiation imaging, comprising: moving (6) a radiation source in a plurality of radiation source positions; adjusting an aperture (4) by synchronizing the aperture geometry adjustment with the movement of the radiation source and coordinating at least one of the position and the shape of the aperture with the respective position of the radiation source (column 3, lines 54-66) such that a radiation beam emanating from the radiation source is collimated to limit the incident radiation to a

predetermined exposure area (an imaging area) at a radiation detector; and detecting the radiation beam on the radiation detector (90).

With regard to claim 45, Hughes disclosed a tomosynthesis system comprising: a movable radiation source; a radiation detector (90); a collimator (4) comprising an adjustable geometry assembly configured such that an adjustment of the aperture is synchronized in time with respect to a movement of the radiation source and coordinated in space with respect to the radiation source position (column 3, lines 54-66) so as to limit the incident radiation of the tomosynthesis system to a predetermined exposure area (an imaging area) at the detector. Note: although this claim recites "tomosynthesis system", it fails to define a system that is structurally distinguishable from the prior art. Structurally, this tomosynthesis system comprises a movable radiation, a radiation detector, and a collimator synchronized with the motion of the radiation source, and it is not distinguishable from the prior art. MPEP § 2114.

With regard to claim 46, Hughes disclosed the tomosynthesis system of claim 45, wherein the aperture assembly is configured for adjusting at least one of the position of the aperture and the shape of the aperture.

With regard to claim 47, Hughes disclosed the tomosynthesis of claim 45, further comprising a collimator assembly comprising a collimator positioning apparatus (6, 18) for positioning the collimator.

### *Response to Arguments*

5. Applicant's arguments filed 23 December 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The rejections based Wofford *et al.* (U. S. Patent No. 6,260,999 B1), Brown *et al.* (U. S. Patent No. 5,751,781), and Liebetrueth (U. S. Patent No. 5,377,252) have been withdrawn in order to set forth the rejections based on Hughes (U. S. Patent No. 5,754,622). This is done because Hughes clearly disclosed a collimator positioning apparatus (6, 18) configured such that an adjustment of the aperture geometry is synchronized with the movement (rotation) of the radiation source and coordinated with the radiation source position (column 3, lines 54-66), which is inherent to any intensity modulated radiation therapy system.

Applicants argue that the prior art fails to teach an imaging system that limits the incident radiation to a predetermined exposure area at the detector. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As disclosed by Hughes, a radiation detector is positioned beneath a treatment zone (12) of a patient to verify the radiation treatment (column 4, lines 30-44). As such, the treatment radiation is always limited to incident on an imaging area of the detector; otherwise, the healthy tissues in the neighborhood of the treatment zone would be damaged. Accordingly, the rejections are being maintained.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen C. Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-2491. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward J. Glick can be reached at (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2882

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Allen C. Ho  
Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 2882

13 January 2005